

Rating Tool for Implementation of the System of Care Approach for Children, Youth, and Young Adults with Behavioral Health Challenges and Their Families

STATE, TRIBE, OR TERRITORY:	DATE:
COMMUNITY OR REGION #:	
COMMUNITY OR REGION NAME:	
LEAD CONTACT PERSON:	
Name:	Title:
Organization:	Department:
Daytime Phone Number:	Email:

SUMMARY REPORT

Community-Level Report

TOTAL SCORE	Score	%
Strategic Plan Score (Max = 4)		
Principles Score (Max = 152)		
Services Score (Max = 136)		
Infrastructure Score (Max = 48)		
Commitment Score (Max = 60)		
Total Score (Max = 400)		

LEVEL OF IMPLEMENTATION RATIN	IG		Score	%
Level I: No Implementation	(0)	(0%)		
Level II: Some Implementation	(1-100)	(1%–25%)		
Level III: Moderate Implementation	(101–200)	(26%–50%)		
Level IV: Substantial Implementation	(201–300)	(51%–75%)		
Level V: Extensive Implementation	(301–400)	(76%–100%)		

DETAILED REPORT

I. Plan for the System of Care Approach

	Use of						
PLAN FOR THE SYSTEM OF CARE APPROACH	0 = No plan exists	1 = Plan is under development	2 = Plan exists but is not used	3 = Plan exists but is rarely used to guide implementation	4 = Formal written plan is used extensively to guide implementation	Don't know/Not applicable/ No plan exists	Score
Existence of a Strategic Plan for System of Care Implementation and Operation	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Development and Use of a Strategic Plan (Max = 4)							

Score: Strategic Plan and P	Plan Components	#	%
MAX = 4	No plan exists = 0% Plan is under development = 1 - 25% Plan exists but is not used = 26 - 50% Plan exists but is rarely used to guide implementation = 51 - 75% Formal written plan is used extensively to guide implementation = 76 - 100%		

II. Service Delivery Guided by System of Care Values and Principles

	Impl of Ca Past						
CORE VALUES AND PRINCIPLES	0 = Not at all implemented	1 = Somewhat implemented	2 = Moderately implemented	3 = substantially implemented	4 = Extensively implemented	Don't know	Score
Individualized, Wraparound Approach to Service Planning and Delivery							
Individualized child and family teams are used (including family, youth, providers, etc.) to develop and implement a tailored service plan	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Individualized assessments of child and family strengths and needs are used to plan services and supports	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Individualized, tailored service plans are developed and implemented for each child and family that address multiple life domains and are revised based on progress	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Services include informal and natural supports in addition to treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Flexible funds are available to meet child and family needs not financed by other sources	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Individualized (Max = 20)							

	Implementation of System of Care Principles During the Past 12 Months						
CORE VALUES AND PRINCIPLES	0 = Not at all implemented	1 = Somewhat implemented	2 = Moderately implemented	3 = substantially implemented	4 = Extensively implemented	Don't know	Score
Family-Driven Approach							
Families have a primary decision making role in service planning and delivery	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Family strengths are incorporated in service planning and delivery	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Families have a choice of services and supports	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Families have access to peer support	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
A family organization exists and supports family involvement at the system and service delivery levels	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Family Driven (Max = 20)							
Youth-Guided Approach							
Youth are active partners in service planning and delivery	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth strengths and interests are incorporated in service planning and delivery	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth have a choice of services and supports	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth have access to peer support	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
A youth organization exists and supports youth involvement at the system and service delivery levels	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Youth Guided (Max = 20)							
Coordinated Approach							
Intensive/targeted care management with a dedicated care manager is provided to high-need youth and families	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Basic service coordination is provided for children and families at lower levels of service intensity	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Care is coordinated across multiple child-serving agencies and systems	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
One overall plan of care is created across child-serving agencies and systems (there may be more detailed plans for individual systems as part of the overall plan)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Coordinated Approach (Max = 16)							
Culturally and Linguistically Competent Approach							
Culture-specific services and supports are provided	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Services and supports are adapted to ensure access and effectiveness for culturally diverse populations	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Providers represent the cultural and linguistic characteristics of the population served	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Providers are trained in cultural and linguistic competence	0	1	2	3	4	DK	

	Implementation of System of Care Principles During the Past 12 Months						
CORE VALUES AND PRINCIPLES	0 = Not at all implemented	1 = Somewhat implemented	2 = Moderately implemented	3 = substantially implemented	4 = Extensively implemented	Don't know	Score
Specific strategies are used to reduce racial and ethnic disparities in access to and outcomes of services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Cultural and Linguistic Competence (Max = 20)							
Evidence-Informed and Promising Practices and Practice-Based Evidence Appr	oach	es					
Evidence-informed practices are implemented within the array of services and supports to improve outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Providers are trained in specific evidence-informed practices and/or evidence-informed practice components	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Best practice guidelines, clinical protocols, and manuals are provided to practitioners	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Fidelity to evidence-informed practices and outcomes are measured	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Evidence-Informed (Max = 16)							
Least Restrictive Approach							
Utilization of home- and community-based services is increased	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
The number of children who are served in settings more restrictive than necessary is reduced	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Utilization of inpatient hospital services is decreased and it is primarily used for short-term, acute treatment and stabilization when necessary and appropriate	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Utilization of residential treatment is decreased and it is primarily used for short-term lengths of stay to achieve specific treatment goals when necessary and appropriate	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Least Restrictive (Max = 16)							
Service Array							
Broad array of home- and community-based services and supports is available	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Includes or is linked with early identification and intervention activities to identify behavioral health problems earlier	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Includes developmentally appropriate services for young children and their families	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Includes developmentally appropriate services for youth and young adults in transition to adulthood	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Service Array (Max = 16)							
Data and Accountability							
Data are collected regularly and are used to improve system quality and outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Electronic health records exist	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Data and Accountability (Max = 8)							

Score: System of Care Principles	#	%
Not at all implemented = 0% Somewhat implemented = 1-25% Moderately implemented = 26-50% Substantially implemented = 51 -75% Extensively implemented = 76-100%		

Score: Individual System of Care Principles	#	%
Score: Individualized (Max = 20)		
Score: Family Driven (Max = 20)		
Score: Youth Guided (Max = 20)		
Score: Coordinated Approach (Max = 16)		
Score: Cultural and Linguistic Competence (Max = 20)		
Score: Evidence-Informed (Max = 16)		
Score: Least Restrictive (Max = 16)		
Score: Service Array (Max = 16)		
Score: Data and Accountability (Max = 8)		

III. Services and Supports Based on the System of Care Approach

	Availa Past 1						
SERVICES AND SUPPORTS	0 = Not at all available	1 = Somewhat available	2 = Moderately available	3 = substantially available	4 = Extensively available	Don't know	Score
Home- and Community-Based Treatment and Support Services (Nonresid	ential)						
Screening for behavioral health needs (e.g., in early care, education, primary care, child welfare, and juvenile justice settings)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Assessment and evaluation	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Individualized service planning (e.g., wraparound process)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Intensive care management	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Care coordination for youth at lower levels of service intensity	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Outpatient individual therapy	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Outpatient group therapy	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Outpatient family therapy	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Medication treatment/management	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Crisis response services (non-mobile) (24 hours, 7 days)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Mobile crisis and stabilization services (24 hours, 7 days)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Intensive home-based services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
School-based behavioral health services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	

	Availa Past						
SERVICES AND SUPPORTS	0 = Not at all available	1 = Somewhat available	2 = Moderately available	3 = substantially available	4 = Extensively available	Don't know	Score
Day treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Substance use treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Therapeutic behavioral aide services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Behavior management skills training	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Tele-behavioral health services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth peer support	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Family peer support	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth and family education	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Respite services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Therapeutic mentoring	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Mental health consultation	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Supported education and employment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Supported independent living	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Transportation	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Home- and Community-Based Treatment and Support Services (Max = 108)							
Out-of-Home Treatment Services for Short-Term Treatment Goals That are Linked to Home- and Community-Based Services and Supports	0 = Not at all available	1 = Available but not used appropriately and not linked	2 = Available, somewhat used appropriately and somewhat linked	3 = Available, moderately used appropriately and moderately linked	4 = Available, mostly used appropriately and mostly linked	Don't know	Score
Therapeutic foster care	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Therapeutic group home care	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Crisis stabilization beds	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Medical detoxification	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Substance use residential treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Residential treatment	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Inpatient hospitalization	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Score: Out-of-Home Treatment Services (Max = 28)							

Score: Services	#	%
Not at all available = 0% Somewhat available = 1-25% Moderately available = 26-50% Substantially available = 51 -75% Extensively available = 76-100%		

IV. System Infrastructure Based on System of Care Approach

	Implementation During the Past 12 Months				:he		
INFRASTRUCTURE COMPONENTS	0 = Not at all implemented	1 = Somewhat implemented	2 = Moderately implemented	3 = substantially implemented	4 = Extensively implemented	Don't know	Score
Point of Accountability Structure for System of Care Management and Oversight	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Financing for System of Care Infrastructure and Services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process to Manage Care and Costs for High-Need Populations (e.g., Care Management Entities)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Interagency Partnerships/Agreements	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Partnerships with Family Organization and Family Leaders	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Partnerships with Youth Organization and Youth Leaders	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Defined Access/Entry Points to Care	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Extensive Provider Network to Provide Comprehensive Array of Services	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Training, TA, and Workforce Development	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Measuring and Monitoring Quality, Outcomes, and Costs (including IT system) and for Using Data for Continuous Quality Improvement	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Strategic Communications/Social Marketing	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Structure and/or Process for Strategic Planning and Identifying and Resolving Barriers	0	1	2	3	4	DK	

Score: Infrastructure	#	%
Not at all implemented = 0% Somewhat implemented = 1-25% Moderately implemented = 26-50% Substantially implemented = 51 -75% Extensively implemented = 76-100%		

V. Commitment to the System of Care Philosophy and Approach

		Extent of Commitment During the Past 12 Months				the	
GROUP	0 = Not at all committed	1= Somewhat committed	2 = Moderately committed	3 = Substantially committed	4 = Extensively committed	Don't know	Score
Child-Serving Systems							
Mental Health System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Child Welfare System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Juvenile Justice System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Education System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Health System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Substance Use Treatment System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Courts/Judiciary System	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Medicaid	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Policy and Decision Makers							
High-Level Policy and Decision Makers at the Local Community or Regional Level	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Providers							
Provider Agency Administrators and Mid-Level Managers	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Direct Service Providers (Clinicians and Others)	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Family and Youth Leaders							
Family Leaders	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Youth Leaders	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Managed Care Organizations							
Behavioral Health Managed Care Organizations	0	1	2	3	4	DK	
Managed Care Organizations Managing both Physical Health and Behavioral Health	0	1	2	3	4	DK	

Score: Commitment	#	%
Not at all committed = 0% Somewhat committed = 1-25% Moderately committed = 26-50% Substantially committed = 51 -75% Extensively committed = 76-100%		

	Assessment						
OVERALL ASSESSMENT	0 = Not at all	1 = Somewhat	2 = Moderately	3 = Substantially	4 = Extensively	Don't know	Score
To what extent do you believe that the system of care approach is being implemented in your community or region?	0	1	2	3	4	DK	

Rating Tool for Implementation of the System of Care Approach for Children, Youth, and Young Adults with Behavioral Health Challenges and Their Families

State, Tribe, or Territory Report

STATE, TRIBE, OR TERRITORY:	DATE:
LEAD CONTACT PERSON:	
Name:	Title:
Organization:	Department:
Daytime Phone Number:	Email:
TOTAL NUMBER OF COMMUNITIES OR REGIONS:	

NUMBER OF COMMUNITY-LEVEL REPORTS:

PROGRESS REPORT

LEVEL OF SOC IMPLEMENTATION	ION SCORES		# Communities or Regions	% Communities or Regions
Level I: No Implementation	(0)	(0%)		
Level II: Some Implementation	(1–100)	(1%–25%)		
Level III: Moderate Implementati	ion (101–200)	(26%–50%)		
Level IV: Substantial Implementa	ation (201–300)	(51%–75%)		
Level V: Extensive Implementati	on (301–400)	(76%–100%)		

LEVEL OF SOC IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT								
		% Communities or Regions						
Level of SOC Implementation Rati	ng		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
Level I: No Implementation	(0)	(0%)						
Level II: Some Implementation	(1-100)	(1%–25%)						
Level III: Moderate Implementation	(101–200)	(26%–50%)						
Level IV: Substantial Implementation	(201-300)	(51%–75%)						
Level V: Extensive Implementation	(301–400)	(76%–100%)						
Mean Level of SOC Implementation	n Rating Ac	ross Communities						

AVERAGE SCORES REPORT

MEAN TOTAL SCORES ACROSS COMMUNITIES	Score	%
STRATEGIC PLAN SCORE (MAX = 4) No plan exists = 0% Plan is under development = 1 - 25% Plan exists but is not used = 26 - 50% Plan exists but is rarely used to guide implementation = 51 - 75% Formal written plan is used extensively to guide implementation = 76 - 100%		
PRINCIPLES SCORE (MAX = 152) Not at all implemented = 0% Somewhat implemented = 1-25% Moderately implemented = 26-50% Substantially implemented = 51 -75% Extensively implemented = 76-100%		
Individualized, Wraparound Approach to Service Planning and Delivery (Max = 20)		
Family-Driven Approach (Max = 20)		
Youth-Guided Approach (Max = 20)		
Coordinated Approach (Max = 16)		
Culturally and Linguistically Competent Approach (Max = 20)		
Evidence-Informed and Promising Practices and Practice-Based Evidence Approaches (Max = 16)		
Least Restrictive Approach (Max = 16)		
Service Array (Max = 16)		
Data and Accountability (Max = 8)		
SERVICES SCORE (MAX = 136) Not at all available = 0% Somewhat available = 1-25% Moderately available = 26-50% Substantially available = 51 -75% Extensively available = 76-100%		
Home- and Community-Based Treatment and Support Services (Nonresidential)		
Out-of-Home Treatment Services for Short-Term Treatment Goals that are Linked to Home- and Community-Based Services and Supports		
INFRASTRUCTURE SCORE (MAX = 48) Not at all implemented = 0% Somewhat implemented = 1-25% Moderately implemented = 26-50% Substantially implemented = 51 -75% Extensively implemented = 76-100%		
Point of Accountability Structure for System of Care Management and Oversight		
Financing for System of Care Infrastructure and Services		
Structure and/or Process to Manage Care and Costs for High-Need Populations (e.g., Care Management Entities)		
Interagency Partnerships/Agreements		
Structure and/or Process for Partnerships with Family Organization and Family Leaders		
Structure and/or Process for Partnerships with Youth Organization and Youth Leaders		
Defined Access/Entry Points to Care		
Extensive Provider Network to Provide Comprehensive Array of Services		

MEAN TOTAL SCORES ACROSS COMMUNITIES	Score	%
Structure and/or Process for Training, TA, and Workforce Development		
Structure and/or Process for Measuring and Monitoring Quality, Outcomes, and Costs (including IT system) and for Using Data for Continuous Quality Improvement		
Structure and/or Process for Strategic Communications/Social Marketing		
Structure and/or Process for Strategic Planning and Identifying and Resolving Barriers		
COMMITMENT SCORE (MAX = 60) Not at all committed = 0% Somewhat committed = 1-25% Moderately committed = 26-50% Substantially committed = 51 -75% Extensively committed = 76-100%		
Mental Health System		
Child Welfare System		
Juvenile Justice System		
Education System		
Health System		
Substance Use Treatment System		
Courts/Judiciary System		
Medicaid		
High-Level Policy and Decision Makers at the Local Community or Regional Level		
Provider Agency Administrators and Mid-Level Managers		
Direct Service Providers (Clinicians and Others)		
Family Leaders		
Youth Leaders		
Behavioral Health Managed Care Organizations		
Managed Care Organizations Managing both Physical Health and Behavioral Health		
TOTAL SCORE (MAX = 400)		

INDIVIDUAL COMMUNITY REPORT

LEVEL OF SOC IMPLEMENTATION SCORES FOR INDIVIDUAL COMMUNITIES OR REGIONS

Level I: No Implementation = 0 (0%) Level II: Some Implementation = 1-100 (1-25% Level III: Moderate Implementation = 101-200 (51-75%) Level IV: Substantial Implementation = 201-300 (51-75%) Level V: Extensive Implementation = 301-400 (76-100%)

Community or Region #	Community or Region Name	Total Score (0-400)	% (0-100%)
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			